

University Journal of Pre and Para Clinical Sciences

ISSN 2455-2879

Volume 2 Issue 2 2016

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DYNAMIC RESPIRATORY FUNCTIONS IN TERM PREGNANT WOMEN.

CHITHRAPAVAI SU UTHAMARAJAN Department of Physiology, STANLEY MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL

Abstract :

In pregnancy, the enlarging uterus and the that of control group the mean ages in hormonal effects alter the lung volumes years was 24.53 2.61 and mean BMI was and capacities. Pulmonary function test is 22.14 3.57. On comparing PFT paramean important tool to assess the respiratory ters among study and control group we functions of an individual. Aim of the study observed FVC (2.06 0.30), FEV1 (2.03 was to evaluate the changes in dynamic 0.29), FEV1 FVC (0.98 0.02) of study respiratory functions in term pregnant group and that of control group FVC (2.10 women and to compare parameters of 0.46), FEV1 (2.08 0.46) and FEV1 FVC study group with that of control group. 30 (0.99 0.02) there was no significant adult female primi, in their third trimester change. PEF (4.29 1.15) among study pregnancy (36- 38 weeks) selected from group when compared to that of control the Department of Obstretics and Gynae- group PEF (5.23 1.28) was highly significology, RSRM, Stanley Medical College cant with P value 0.004. MVV (56.54 Hospital were chosen after institutional 15.91) of study group when compared to ethical committee approval. PFT was per- that of control group MVV (73.02 27.01) formed using SPIROLAB II, in the sitting was highly significant with P value 0.006. posture in the morning and compared with age matched controls chosen from the quence of dynamic physiological changes Master Health Check-up Clinic, Stanley that impact on multiple organ system Medical College Hospital. Data collected functions and is associated with various was analyzed statistically by unpaired stu- changes in pulmonary anatomy and dent t test using SPSS version 15.0. Of the physiology. Hence from this study we contotal 30 subjects in study group, the mean clude that assessing pulmonary function ages in years was 23.87

2.98 and mean BMI was 25.29 2.67 and Thus pregnancy is characterized by setest in third trimester pregnancy invariably

An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Pre and Para Clinical Sciences

shows us significant respiratory compromise. is decreased more than FVC. In fibrosis Keyword :pulmonary function test, preg- of lung, which is a restrictive lung disnancy, respiratory compromise.

INTRODUCTION:

Pregnancy causes visible and invisible ratio actually increases ^[2-4]. changes in body. In pregnancy, the enlarging uterus and the hormonal effects alter the II. AIM lung volumes and capacities. Clinical evalua- To evaluate the changes in dynamic tion of lung function and the study of static respiratory functions in term pregnant lung mechanics begin with the measurement women using SPIROLAB -II and to of lung volumes and the factors that deter- compare parameters of study group with mine these volumes. Pulmonary function test that of control group. (PFT) is used to assess severity, functional impairment or disability, follow response to III. MATERIALS AND METHODOLtherapy, and determine further treatment goals, estimate prognosis and referral for surgery ^[1].Pulmonary function test is an important tool to assess the respiratory functions of an individual. This test is a non invasive simple procedure easily done to test patients and an early detector of respiratory compromise. In clinical practice, while performing the pulmonary function test, along with the measurement of the various volumes and capacities, other parameters that is determined is forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume (FEV). FVC is the maximum amount of air that is forcefully breathed out after inflating the lung to the maximum by maximum inspiration. The volume of air that can be forcibly expired in the first second is called FEV1 and the volume that can be expired in 2 second is called **Parameters studied**: FEV₂ and the volume expired in 3 second is I. Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) in (lit). called FEV₃. Normally the entire vital capacity can be forcibly expired in 3 seconds. In normal individuals FEV₁/ FVC is approxi- ond (FEV₁) as (%). mately 0.8, which means that 80% of the vi- III. FEV₁/FVC. tal capacity can be forcibly expired in the first second. FVC and FEV₁ are helpful in the diagnosis of lung disease. FEV1/ FVC can be as (lit/min) and used to differentiate between various types V. Maximum Voluntary Ventilation of diseases. For instance, in obstructive lung (MVV) as (lit/min). disease like asthma both FEV₁ and FVC are decreased, but FEV₁

ease, both FEV1 and FVC are decreased, but FEV₁ is decreased less than FVC. Thus in fibrosis FEV₁/ FVC

OGY

1. Sample size: 30

Inclusion criteria:

Primi pregnant women in their third trimester of pregnancy (36- 38 weeks). Non- pregnant, age matched controls chosen from Master Health Check-up Clinic.

Exclusion criteria:

Pregnant females with any co morbid illness like asthma, diabetes, hypertension renal and hepatic insufficiency.

Multiparity, twin pregnancy, pregnancy induced hypertension, high risk pregnancy and pregnancy with bad obstetric history.

II. Forced Expiratory Volume in 1st sec-

IV. Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR)

An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Pre and Para Clinical Sciences

5. Pre- requisites:

• The subjects were instructed to avoid beverages before recording PFT. • The subjects were made to get accustomed to research laboratory. • The procedure was explained in their own language. • The subjects were properly instructed and motivated. The baseline characteristics of the study group and control group are shown in table 1. Of the total 30 subjects in study group, the mean ages in years was 23.87 \pm 2.98 and mean BMI was 25.29 \pm 2.67 and that of control group the mean ages in years was 24.53 \pm 2.61 and mean BMI

6. Methodology:

30 adult female primi, in their third trimester pregnancy (36- 38 weeks) selected from the Department of Obstretics and Gynaecology, RSRM, Stanley Medical College Hospital were chosen after institutional ethical committee approval. Proper information and explanation to the study group was given and written consent obtained. A brief history including personal history, family history, and history of any previous illness of respiratory diseases or treatment for respiratory diseases was noted. General examination included recording of pulse rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, chest and abdominal circumference. Abdominal examination by inspection and palpation was done. PFT was performed using SPIROLAB -II, in the sitting posture in the morning and compared with age matched controls chosen from the Master Health Check-up Programme, Stanley Medical College. Data collected was stored and analyzed statistically by unpaired student t test using SPSS version 15.0. The Data is expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance was tested using p value.

IV. RESULTS

group and control group are shown in tagroup, the mean ages in years was 23.87 and that of control group the mean ages in years was 24.53 ± 2.61 and mean BMI was 22.14 ± 3.57. From table 2, on comparing PFT parameters among study and control group we observed FVC (2.06 ± 0.30), FEV₁ (2.03 ± 0.29), FEV₁/ FVC (0.98 ± 0.02) of study group and that of control group FVC (2.10 ± 0.46) , FEV₁ (2.08 ± 0.46) and FEV_1/FVC (0.99 ± 0.02) there was no significant change. $PEF(4.29 \pm 1.15)$ among study group when compared to that of control group PEF (5.23 ± 1.28) was highly significant with P value 0.004. MVV (56.54 ± 15.91) of study group when com-

p a r e d t o t h a t o f control group MVV (73.02 ± 27.01) was highly significant with P value 0.006. Table 1: Showing basic parameter comparison between control and study group:

Table 1: Showing basic parameter comparison between control and study group:							
	Study group	Control group					
Basic parameters	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	P Value				
Age in years	23.87 ± 2.98	24.53 ± 2.61	0.360				
BMI	25.29 ± 2.67	22.14 ± 3.57	0.001**				
* P Value < 0.010 is highly significant							

Table 2: Showing comparison of PFT parameters between control and study group:							
	Study group	Controls					
	n= 30	n = 30					
Parameters Studied	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD			P Value		
FVC(L)	2.06 ± 0.30	2.10±0.46	0	.708			
FEV ₁ (L)	2.03 ± 0.29	2.08±0.46	0	.583			
FEV₁/ FVC	0.98 ± 0.02	0.99 ± 0.02	0	.120			
#						1	
PEF(L/S)	1.29±1.15 5.1	23±1.28	0.004				
MVV(L/ M)	56.54 ± 15.91 73	9.02 ± 27.01	0.006	**			
** P Value < 0.010 is highly significant							
-							

DISCUSSION:

Objective of this study was to assess PFT in term pregnant women compared to non pregnant women. There is no statistically significant reduction in FVC, FEV₁, and FEV₁/FVC with highly significant change in PEF and MVV. In studies conducted by Milne et al, Mokkapatti et al, Monga et al, they found a statistically significant reduction in PEFR values in their study involving pregnant women^[5-7]. Lata et al in their study found that enlarging breast, growing gravid and fear of complications arising at term due to abdominal pressure required to forcefully inspire and expire for executing flow rates which could render lowered values during pregnancy. These effects

cause relatively adaptive changes in pulmonary mechanics^[8]. PEFR decrease states that it might be caused by upward displacement of diaphragm, reduced strength of expiratory muscles and mechanical effect of growing uterus. In a study by Hemant et al, they found that in first and second trimester of pregnancy the lung functions are relatively normal, while in third trimester the pulmonary function gets compromised with decrease in PEFR^[9]. Grindheim et al, in their study found that, there was a progressive increase in PEF after 14-16 weeks of gestation which was due to physiological mechanisms of bronchodilatation in pregnancy ^[10]. Pregnancy - induced reduction in pulmonary vagal efferent activity and progesterone mediated alteration in airway smooth muscle tone may separately or in combination be responsible ^[11-15]. MVV decrease during pregnancy indicates mechanical inhibition of chest and also due to increased oxygen per unit of work done in the respiratory muscles. Other factors as morning sickness, lack of motivation and resistance to exertion contribute in decreasing MVV^[8]. Thus pregnancy is characterized by sequence of dynamic physiological changes that impact on multiple organ system functions and is associated with various changes in pulmonary anatomy and physiology ^[16]. Three important changes in the configuration of the thorax that occur during pregnancy were an increase in anteroposterior and the transverse diameters, elevation of the diaphragm upto 4 cm to 5 cm and a 50% widening of the costal angle ^[17-19]. These changes peak around the 37th week of pregnancy and normalize within 6 months after delivery.Pulmonary function is affected by changes of the airway, thoracic cage, and respiratory drive. Additionally,

capillary engorgement throughout the respiratory tract results in mucosal edema and hyperaemia ^[20,21]. Multiple biochemical alterations like increase in progesterone, estrogen, prostaglandins, corticosteroid and cyclic nucleotide levels occur concomitantly during the course of pregnancy.

CONCLUSION:

Hence from this study we conclude that assessing pulmonary function test in third trimester pregnancy invariably shows us significant respiratory compromise. When a significant change in PFT during third trimester if observed the women can be given extra care and monitored efficiently to prevent complications during labour or surgery and during post partum. Pulmonary breathing exercises like deep inhalation and exhalation done during second trimester till delivery and postnatal period helps to improve patient's oxygen saturation and oxygen carrying capacity of mother during labour. This also minimizes foetal hypoxic events in antepartum and intrapartum period and helps the pregnant women to bear down during labour. Limitation of this study was that follow up of the study subjects after delivery or surgery was not feasible as the study subjects moved to their native place after delivery.

REFERENCES:

[1] Lawerence M, Tiemey JR. Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment. 45th edition 2006; chapter 9: pulmonary function test: 222-224.

[2] Best and Taylor's - Physiological basis of medical practice - 13th edition. O. P. Tandon. Y. Tripathi. Section V - Respiration. Krishnan Ravi. Chapter 36 – Structurefunction relationships of the lung; ventilation. Page – 581- 589. [3] Textbook of Physiology. 1st edition.
2013. P. Sathya, Viji Devanand.
Chapter 45; Pulmonary Volumes and Capacities. Page: 205.

[4] Berne and levy physiology. 6th edition. Bruce M. Koeppen, Bruce A. Stanton. Section 5- The respiratory system. Chapter 21- mechanical properties of the lung and chest wall: static and dynamic Michelle M. Cloutier, Roger S. Thrall. Page 721-722, 735.

[5] Milne JA, Mills RJ, Howie AD, Pack AL- Large airways function during normal pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1977; 84: 448-51.

[6] Mokkapatti R, Prasad EC, Venkataraman, Fatima K- Ventilation functions in pregnancy. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 1991; 34: 237-49.

[7] Monga U, Kumar K- Pulmonary functions in Punjabi pregnant women. Indian J Physiol and Pharmacol 2000; 44:115-6.

[8] Lata Gupta, Dixit. A linear study of pulmonary function tests in normal pregnant and non-pregnant women.J Indian Med Assoc. Vol. III. No.10, October 2013. Page 666.

[9] Hemant Deshpande, Chandrakant Madkarb, Priyanka Dahiya. A study of pulmonary function tests in different stages of pregnancy. Int J Biol Med Res. 2013; 4(1): 2713-2716.

[10] G Grindheim,a K Toska,b M-E Estensen,c,d LA Rosselanda. Changes in pulmonary function during pregnancy: A longitudinal cohort study. j.1471-0528.2011.03158.

An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Pre and Para Clinical Sciences [11] Jensen D, Webb KA, Davies GA, O'Donnell DE. Mechanical ventilator constraints during incremental cycle exercise in human pregnancy: implications for respiratory sensation. J Physiol 2008;586: 4735–50.

12] Avery ND, Wolfe LA, Amara CE, Davies GA, McGrath MJ. Effects of human pregnancy on cardiac autonomic function above and below the ventilatory threshold. J Appl Physiol 2001;90:321–8.

[13] Foster PS, Goldie RG, Paterson JW. Effect of steroids on beta-adrenoceptormediated relaxation of pig bronchus. Br J Pharmacol 1983;78:441–5.

[14] Johannesson M, Ludviksdottir D, Janson C. Lung function changes in relation to menstrual cycle in females with cystic fibrosis. Respir Med 2000;94:1043 –6.

[15] Perusquia M, Hernandez R, Montano LM, Villalon CM, Campos MG. Inhibitory effect of sex steroids on guinea-pig airway smooth muscle contractions. Comp Biochem Physiol C Pharmacol Toxicol Endocrinol 1997;118:5–10.

[16] Shailaja, Srikanth S. Lung function tests in different trimesters of pregnancy. Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research; December 2013: Vol.-3, Issue-1, P.285-292.

[17] Weinberger SE, Weiss ST, Cohen WR et al. Pregnancy and the lung. Am Rev Respir Dis 1980; 121(3):559–81.
[18] Goldsmith LT, Weiss G, Steinetz BG. Relaxin and its role in pregnancy. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 1995;24(1):171–86.

[19] Gilroy RJ, Mangura BT, Lavietes MH. Rib cage and abdominal volume displacements during breathing in pregnancy. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;137(3):668–72.

[20] Ellegard EK. Pregnancy rhinitis. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 2006;26(1):119–35.

[21] Toppozada H, Michaels L, Toppozada M et al. The human respiratory nasal mucosa in pregnancy. An electron microscopic and histochemical study. J Laryngol Otol 1982; 96(7):613–26.